

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/891,578                                    | 06/25/2001     | Jun Kim              | RAMB-01067US0          | RAMB-01067US0 3316      |  |
| 38456 75                                      | 590 01/23/2006 |                      | EXAM                   | EXAMINER                |  |
| DENIRO/RAMBUS<br>685 MARKET STREET, SUITE 540 |                | CHANG,               | CHANG, EDITH M         |                         |  |
|   | SCO, CA 94105  |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| <b></b>                                       |                |                      | 2637                   |                         |  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/23/200 | DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |            |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|--|--|
| 09/891,578      | KIM ET AL.   | KIM ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |            |  |  |
| Edith M. Chang  | 2637         |            |  |  |

|   | Edith M. Chang  | 2637   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add  | ress                                      |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>09 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance<br>time periods:  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c  | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C             | ce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp</li> </ol>   | diance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be  | filed within two month                                   | e of the date of                          |  |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th                                    |   |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   |   |  | ecause                                    |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   |   | i E below);  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | •   | ducing or simplifying                                    | he issues for                             |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally rej   | ected claims.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   | 16 and 41.33(a)).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | mpliant Amendment (                                      | PTOL-324).                                |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  | :,  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | lowable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendme                                     | nt canceling the                          |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | I be entered and an e                                    | xplanation of                             |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-47.</u>   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fai                                  | s to provide a                            |  |  |  |  |
| 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                  | ed.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowar                                    | ice because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | o(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Regarding claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-20, and 25-47

Argument: Applicants argue that the Admitted Prior Art (APA) does not disclose the clock signals having different propagation

delays.

Response: In page 2 lines 13-19 of the current specification describes the prior art Fig.2 CLK1 having different propagation delays relate to CLK2, and propagation delays are different for the different memory devices of the system (as in Fig.1, page 1 lines 16-

19).

Applicants argue that the Admitted Prior Art (APA) does not disclose compensating the digital control values (the Argument:

phases).

Response: In the prior art Fig.2, the phase detector 31 derives correction values on 30 to compensate the phase difference

(page 3, lines 6-12).

Argument: Applicants argue that the APA does not disclose the digital control values only the clock signals CLK1 and CLK2

and phase detector 31 does not receive the digital control vales (the phases of the clock signals PHASE1 and PHASE2).

Response: In the prior art Fig.2, the phase detector 31 to compare the digital control values PHASE1 and PHASE 2 to produce the phase difference (page 3, lines 6-12), wherein the PHASE1 and PHASE2 are the phases of the CLK1 and CLK2 received by the phase detector 31.

Regarding claims 5, 10, and 21-24

The amendments change the scope of the claims.

burranth